

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria. Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/511,986	02/24/2000	Vernon M. Williams	4208US (99-0316)	6129	
75	90 07/12/2004		EXAM	INER	
Brick G Power			NADAV, ORI		
Trask Britt & Re	ossa		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
Salt Lake City,	UT 84110		2811 DATE MAILED: 07/12/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<del></del>	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/511,986	WILLIAMS, VERNO	<b>V</b> М.
·	Examiner	Art Unit	
estatenske skulminten (f. 1900). En um han i still se have kank kommunikationer i sind i sind i sind i sind ind	ori naday	2811	•
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence addr	ess
THE REPLY FILED 28 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application of the supplication of the su	cation. A proper replication in the categories in the categories and categories are categories.	ly to a ation in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moterned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. Se 136(a) and the appropriate e fee. The appropriate exte the final Office action; or (/	ee MPEP extension fee ension fee under 2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••	
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further		(see NOTE below):	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note to		,	
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	•	terially reducing or si	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claim	is.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed	amendment .
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request fo application in condition for allowance because: Se		sidered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	' to issues which wer	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) objected to:		,	•• ••
Claim(s) rejected: <u>47,48,50-56,58-68,75-79,81-85 and 86-</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>80 and 86-</u>			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app		the Evaminer	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ings)( F10-1449) Paper No(S).	<del>'</del>	
10. Other:		a Na	7
		0011110111	

ORI NADAV PATENT EXAMINER Application/Control Number: 09/511,986

Art Unit: 2811

## Response to Arguments

Applicant argues that a signed copy of the Information Disclosure Statement filed on 2/07/2003 has not been received.

The examiner did not receive form PTO/SB/08A that accompanied the IDS filed on 2/07/2003. Applicant states that a second copy of the Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement of February 7, 2003, and of the Form PTO/SB/08 that accompanied that Supplemental information Disclosure Statement are enclosed, as is a copy of the USPTO date-stamped postcard evidencing receipt of the same by the Office. However, the examiner did not receive the above papers, because none of them were scanned into the file.

Applicant argues that lead wires 7 of Matsuki et al. do not contact both contact pads 4 and 11.

Conductive elements 7 make electrical contact with contacts 11 of the carrier and to corresponding bond pads 4, because during bonding contacts 11 contact bumps 10 (column 9, lines 11-15). Furthermore, Matsuki et al. teach that a wire can be directly connected to conductive elements, without the need for bumps 10 (column 9, lines 15-18). In any event, the broad recitation of the claim does not require that lead wires 7 make direct contact with contact pads 4 and 11, and Matsuki et al. clearly teach that during bonding contacts 11 contact bumps 10.

The subsection of the first of the section of the s

Applicant argues that the device of Matsuki et al. and Lee et al. do not include the claimed limitations of at least a portion of the conductive trace being configured to extend and conduct electrical signals along a plane which is parallel to a plane in which the at least one semiconductor device component is located, because Lee et al. teach a thermoplastic conductive elastomer conduct electrical signals perpendicular to the plane of the semiconductor device.

Matsuki et al. teach in figure 2 a conductive trace being configured to extend and conduct electrical signals along two planes, which are perpendicular to one another. Therefore, although Lee et al. teach a thermoplastic conductive elastomer conduct electrical signals perpendicular to the plane of the semiconductor device, when combining Lee et al. with Matsuki et al., at least one of the two planes of the conductive trace will conduct electrical signals along a plane which is parallel to a plane in which the at least one semiconductor device component is located,

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology center (TC) 2800 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to TC 2800 via the TC 2800 Fax center located in Crystal Plaza 4, room 4-C23. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The Group 2811 Fax Center number is (703) 308-7722 and 308-7724. The Group 2811 Fax Center is to be used only for papers related to Group 2811 applications.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or any earlier communication from the Examiner should be directed to *Examiner Nadav* whose telephone number is **(571) 272-1660**. The Examiner is in the Office generally between the hours of 7 AM to 4 PM (Eastern Standard Time) Monday through Friday.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the **Technology Center Receptionists** whose telephone number is **308-0956** 

O.N. July 5, 2004 ORI NADAV
PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800